Approved For Release 2005/03/24 : CIA-RDP81-00818R000100060043-1



CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR



Honorable Sam J. Ervin, Jr., Chairman Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights Senate Committee on the Judiciary Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We wish to submit our views on a bill you introduced on 2 May 1973, S. 1688, "To protect the civilian employees of the executive branch of the U.S. Government in the enjoyment of their constitutional rights and to prevent unwarranted governmental invasion of their privacy."

This Agency is deeply committed to enhancing the morale, discipline and professionalism of Agency employees and to the extent that these qualities are dependent upon a proper regard for individual privacy, we are in agreement with the underlying principle of the bill. However, certain of its provisions could seriously impair our capability to protect intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure.

In this connection, the National Security Act of 1947, as amended, provides:

"... That the Director of Central Intelligence shall be responsible for protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure;...." (50 U.S.C. 403(d)(3)).

In addition, the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 provides:

"In the interests of the security of the foreign intelligence activities of the United States and in order further to implement the proviso of section 403(d)(3) of this title that the Director of Central Intelligence shall

be responsible for protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure, the Agency shall be exempted from the provisions of section 654 of Title 5, and the provisions of any other law which require the publication, or disclosure of the organization, functions, names, official titles, salaries, or numbers of personnel employed by the Agency..." (50 U.S. C. 403g)

S. 1688 specifically authorizes adversary procedures which pose a serious paradox--the Agency must either remain silent in the face of unfounded allegations (with the alleged offending officer taking the consequences of the sanctions embodied in the bill), or it must divulge information which it is obligated by statute to protect, and disclosure of which might damage the national intelligence effort.

Enactment of the bill in its present form would be a most serious obstacle to the effective protection of intelligence sources and methods. The bill would seriously weaken the Agency's efforts to prevent penetration by a hostile intelligence service, to ensure that its employees are suitable in all respects for employment in this sensitive Agency, and in general make it much more difficult for the Director of Central Intelligence to discharge his responsibilities under existing law.

I wanted to let you know that I have reviewed the position taken on this legislation by my predecessors, and that I concur in their position that the Agency be exempted from the bill. At your convenience, I would be glad to discuss this further if you wish.

The Office of Management and Budget has advised that there is no objection to the submission of this report from the standpoint of the Administration's program.

Approved For Release 2005/03/24: CIA-RDP81-00818R000100060043-1



CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

Honorable Stuart Symington Acting Chairman Committee on Armed Services United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in response to your request for a report on a statement in the <u>Congressional Record</u> of 2 May 1973 that was made in connection with the introduction of S. 1688, the Federal employees' privacy bill, that:

"Moreover, recent Central Intelligence Agency disciplinary proceedings, in which requests for the presence of counsel or even of colleagues from the Agency have been summarily turned down, make clear the need for the protections of this legislation subject only to certain partial exemptions accorded to these agencies."

It is not the policy of this Agency to summarily reject requests for the presence of counsel or of colleagues in disciplinary proceedings within the Agency. I have had the matter thoroughly investigated and no case has been brought to my attention. If you or any other Senator have knowledge of such a case, I would appreciate being informed.

In the interest of protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure certain protection has been extended by statutes and executive orders to the Agency in connection with



Approved For Release 2005/03/24 : CIA-RDP81-00818R000100060043-1

certain adversary proceedings. As a result, the Agency believes that it has thereby inherited a greater degree of responsibility than normal for assuring that no injustices are done. Moreover, as a practical matter, the discipline and professionalism that the Agency expects of its employees requires positive attitude to this end.

It is clear that the Congress, in enacting several laws pertaining to the Agency realized the paramount governmental interest in protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure in cases where this objective may conflict with other important considerations, such as Federal employees' rights. However, while an employee cannot as a matter of right insist upon the presence of personal counsel during internal investigative proceedings, we have attempted to accommodate every reasonable request for the presence of personal counsel at disciplinary proceedings.

In fact, even in a situation which does not involve disciplinary action, such as our recent personnel reduction program, personal counsel were present whenever requested.

It is hoped that the above is responsive to your interest and if you would like anything further from us on this, please let me know.

	UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDE	NTIAL	SECRET
	OFFI	CIAL ROUTING	G SLIP	
,	NAME AN	D ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
	Acting Legisl 7D-43 HQ	ative Counsel		
-				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREP	ARE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECO	MMENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETU	RN
	concurrence narks:	INFORMATION	SIGN	ATURE
	narks:	ur telcon.	SIGN	ATURE
	narks:			ATURE

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions

STAT

STAT

	UNCLASSIFIED	CONF	IDENTIAL		SECRET	
•	OFFI	CIAL ROUT	ING SI	.IP		
то	NAME AN	D ADDRESS	В	ATE	INITIALS	
1	Director of Pe					
2						
3						
4						
5		 				
6						
	ACTION	DIRECT REP	LY	PREPARE	REPLY	
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	·		RECOMMENDATION	
	COMMENT	FILE		RETURN		
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATIO	N .	SIGNATUI	ATURE	
in me in at	the proposed 1	"I have had no case has le it is pro ay want to d	nator Sy the mat been br bably ar	mingto ter throught accur	n bother oroughly to my ate	
gi			(
gi	cts also sent t		<u> </u>			
gi	FOLD	O D/Sec (DD HERE TO RETUR ADDRESS AND PR	N TO SEN		DATE	

STAT

STAT

	ROL	MITL	AND	SECU	RC	SHEET	
(Optional)	ramaninkilin qiriliktigari kunaydhili israhmiga yaphininkimadak sharafirmin Areka			Agents of the Sales areas	•	DD/MA3 73 - 2,	15.9
ROM:				EXTENSI	ON		
KOM:				3.11.1431		NO. 0∠C 73-	0784
			1 350 E		_	DATE 2 July 1973	
O: (Officer designation, ro	tive Counsel	DA	TE		- 		
uilding)	RE	CEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICE		COMMENTS (Number each comment to whom. Draw a line across column	
1.				w.v.	-3	night for the state of the stat	
		7-1-1		****		It is quite possibl	o that ag in
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		J				the last Congress, t	
						will be passed by the	
		3	e for which		17 June	without further com	
			, y ⁴ 7		4	ings or request to a	y Executive
			***	A-17		agency for their vie	ws on the bill.
4.	The second of the	Hes.	7.		dir.	We feel however tha	Carrier Street Contract Contra
				ili Listina ete		of the record it is in	i ang ang kalang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang
5.			Strat Art School Killia Strat Art School Killia School Art School		Austra-	the Agency submit a position to Senator E	
						is attached (Tab A) i	
The second secon	and animals a supplied of the process of the form	essua.			A A 25	comment and/or con	entre de la companya
o. Since Control			ation in the second			When Senator Er	
			- 442			his current bill he's	ated that he
7.						had given serious co	nsideration to
"我们的人,我们们的人,我们们们的人,我们们们们的人,我们们们们们们们们们们们们们		3.4		Heist Hin	* *** *	a full exemption for	and the second s
8. 1				146 . 54		but he found it was i	Herri Carrier Control of the
					Salar Palar	do so since he had le	• 560 CO CO CO • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	/ // //		A CHILLY		916	recently the Agency	THE PARTY OF THE P
9.	telanda.			tras a		the right of personal	STOCKED TROOP IN A SECOND RESIDENCE.
						proceedings. We fe	文化、大学(2015年) - 14 - 14 - 17 - 18 - 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18
O				72 H		not let this charge g	
			100			and if agreeable to A	
1.						Symington, we propo	se to send
					是人	Attachment B.	
				Carlos Carlos			.
						44	
	Sales State Barrier Chil	der in de la compa	e outsé		Mar.	A A Common Control	Company
3.		**************************************			*** **	Legislative	·胡敬·李丽·西班牙克 医二氏 编品 数据集集
							1 1 1 2 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
4.		सद्भाव । । - स. १० - १०			7 5		
					ر. درون درون		
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 2 2	¥.5.		
5.		•				general de la companya de la company	
_						00818R000100060043- 1	10.5

STAT